00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
000000Molecular Clock 000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
"
00 Demis Hassabis 000000 any problem000000000000000000000000000000000000
AlphaCode

## Universal Approximation Theorem Nash Embedding Theorems One word-embedding Vector Space

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
10000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
Deep Learning   reinforcement learning
Demis Hassabis [][][][][] potentially a meta-solution to any problem[][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

<u></u>
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000"00000"00000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
First, if scientists have tried, and failed, to come up with an alternative theory that
explains a phenomenon well, that counts as evidence in favor of the original theory. Second, if a theory keeps seeming like a better idea the more you study it, that's another plus-one. And if a line of thought produced a theory that evidence later supported, chances are it will again.
Second, if a theory keeps seeming like a better idea the more you study it, that's another plus-one. And if a line of thought produced a theory that evidence later
Second, if a theory keeps seeming like a better idea the more you study it, that's another plus-one. And if a line of thought produced a theory that evidence later supported, chances are it will again.
Second, if a theory keeps seeming like a better idea the more you study it, that's another plus-one. And if a line of thought produced a theory that evidence later supported, chances are it will again.  \[ \textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\textstyle=\te
Second, if a theory keeps seeming like a better idea the more you study it, that's another plus-one. And if a line of thought produced a theory that evidence later supported, chances are it will again.  DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
Second, if a theory keeps seeming like a better idea the more you study it, that's another plus-one. And if a line of thought produced a theory that evidence later supported, chances are it will again.
Second, if a theory keeps seeming like a better idea the more you study it, that's another plus-one. And if a line of thought produced a theory that evidence later supported, chances are it will again.

Quantum Mechanics? The development of «decoherence theory» revealed that, using the standard formalism of quantum mechanics, macroscopically distinct branches of the wavefunction were almost entirely free from interference and evolve approximately classically almost Theorem almost Theorem 2012 almost Theo
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0000"000000000000000000000000000000000

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
D-wave   Google Quantum Supremacy     D   D   D   D   D   D   D   D   D